17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz , Iran 19-22 November 2019



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

International Congress of Nephrlogy, Dialysis, and Transplantation

Organized by Iranian Society of Nephrology

Dear colleagues you are very welcome to this congress



Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019





Home Hemodialysis

Iraj Najafi MD

International Congress of Nephrlogy, Dialysis, and Transplantation

Organized by Iranian Society of Nephrology







Treatment of ESRD should provide the following

- 1) The maximum medical, social, economic, and psychological rehabilitation
- 2) Few ill effects
- 3) The highest possible quality of life
- 4) Excellent patient compliance
- 5) Maximum opportunity for employment and education
- 6) Maintenance or "repair" of family dynamics
- 7) The least possible stress on patients, families, and the health care team

8) The best possible patient outcomes

Tabriz , Iran 19-22 November 2019





Figure 3. Integrated end-stage renal disease care. APD indicates ambulatory peritoneal dialysis; CAPD, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis; and PD, peritoneal dialysis.

178

Iranian Journal of Kidney Diseases | Volume 2 | Number 4 | October 2008

17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019



Home hemodialysis

Iranian Society of Nephrology

Nov. 2019





Hemodialysis International

Hemodialysis International 2015; 19:S112-S127

Prescriptions for home hemodialysis

Robert LOCKRIDGE,1 Tom CORNELIS,2 Carolyn VAN EPS3

¹Lynchburg Nephrology Physicians, Lynchburg, Virginia, USA;²Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands;³Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, New South Wales, Australia

Abstract

Prescribing a regimen that provides "optimal dialysis" to patients who wish to dialyze at home is of major importance, yet there is substantial variation in how home hemodialysis (HD) is prescribed. Geographic location, patient health status and clinical goals, and patient lifestyle and preferences all influence the selection of a prescription for a particular patient—there is no single prescription that provides optimal therapy for all patients, and careful weighing of potential benefit and burden is required for long-term success. This article describes how home HD prescribing patterns have changed over time and provides examples of commonly used home HD prescriptions. In addition, associated clinical outcomes and adequacy parameters as well as criteria for identifying which patients may benefit most from these diverse prescriptions are also presented.



Home hemodialysis

Included All forms of HD performed in a home setting

conventional long frequent long/frequent sessions

17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz , Iran 19-22 November 2019



Table 8 Home HD modality prescriptions

	Sessions	Session	QB	QD		K+	Ca ²⁺	
Modality	per wk	duration (h)	(mL/min)	(mL/min)	Base (mmol/L)	(mmol/L)	(mmol/L)	PO₄ added
Traditional (standard-hours)	3-3.5	3–5	300–400	500-800	HCO ₃ , 32–36	2	1.25	None
Alternate-night nocturnal	3.5	6–8	250–350	300–500	HCO ₃ , 28 – 35	2	1.25	Rare
Traditional short daily	5–6	2.5-3.5	350-400	350-600	HCO ₃ , 32–36	2	1.25	None
Traditional nocturnal	4–6	6–8	250-350	300	HCO3, 28-35	3	1.5-1.75	20-30% of time
Low-flow dialysate short daily	5–6	2.5–4	300–400	90–300	Lactate, 40-45	2	1.5	None
Low-flow dialysate nocturnal	4–6	6–8	300–350	83–166	Lactate, 40-45	2	1.75	None

 Ca^{2+} = calcium; HCO₃ = bicarbonate; K⁺ = potassium; PO₄ = phosphate; QB = blood flow rate; QD = dialysis fluid flow rate.



Prescriptions for home hemodialysis

Robert LOCKRIDGE,¹ Tom CORNELIS,² Carolyn VAN EPS³

¹Lynchburg Nephrology Physicians, Lynchburg, Virginia, USA;²Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands;³Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, New South Wales, Australia

- Instead of providing adequate dialysis, we should strive for "optimal dialysis" defined in terms of:
- Excellence in quality of life, control of symptoms, and normalization of risk factors, including blood pressure, cardiac structure and function, mineral balance, nutrition, hormonal status, and survival
- If we, as clinicians, accept this:
 it is unlikely that any single dialysis prescription will be optimal for all patients



Table 9	Comparative	efficacy	across	prescriptions	relative to	renal transplar	nt
---------	-------------	----------	--------	---------------	-------------	-----------------	----

	Regimen intensity			Efficacy relative to transplant $(5 = \text{transplant}, 0 = \text{no treatment})$				
Renal replacement therapy	Sessions per wk	Session duration (h)	Controls volume	Controls PO ₄	Minimum adequacyª	Optimal adequacy ^b		
Transplant			5	5	4	5		
CAPD and CCPD without residual renal function	_	_	1	1	4	0		
Traditional HD (standard hours)	3 3.5	3–5 3–5	2 3	1 2	4 4	1 2		
Traditional short daily HD	5–6	2.5-3.5	5	3	4	3		
Traditional nocturnal HD	3.5 5–6	6–8 6–8	4 5	4 5	4 4	4 5		
Low-flow dialysate short daily HD	5–6	2.5-4.0	5	2	4	3		
Low-flow dialysate nocturnal HD	5–6	6–8	5	4	4	4		

MAYO CLINIC

ISN

International Society of Nephrology Iranian Society of Neph

6

IPNA

Daily Home Hemodialysis: Value Proposition

Improves Quality of Life

- > Travel and Recovery Time Greatly Reduced
- > Improved Sleep, Appetite, and Diet

Clinically Superior to 3X/Week In-Center Treatment

- > B/P Crashes ↓ 71%
- > Cramps ↓76%
- > Irregular heartbeat ↓90%
- > Heart enlargement ↓29%
- > Inflammation ↓42%
- > EPO resistance +46%





Advantages of Home Hemodialysis

> Hemodialysis while sleeping.

Better blood pressure management—less need for medications.

- > Avoidance of intradialytic hypotension, something common in IHD.
- More energy and less 'wash-out' syndrome.
- Decreased prevalence of sleep apnea.





Advantages of Home Hemodialysis

- Less dietary restrictions—e.g., phosphate binders and food restrictions.
- Control over the dialysis treatment schedule and greater life satisfaction.
- Improve ejection fraction and regression in left ventricular hypertrophy.
- > Live longer, according to randomized clinical studies.



Daily Hemodialysis Life Expectancy



17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019





Figure 1 — Geographic summary of country-specific policy types. PD = peritoneal dialysis.

17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019



Worldwide Prevalence of Home HD, %

Prescriptions for home hemodialysis



Hemodialysis International Internationages 51223127;29 APR 2015 pon:r101012121/h @ io2179 is, and Transplantation http://onlinglibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/hdi 12279/foll#hdi12279-fig-0001





2018 ANNUAL DATA REPORT VOLUME 2: END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE

Chapter 1: Incidence, Prevalence, Patient Characteristics, and Treatment Modalities

17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Iransplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019 Volume 2 ESRD, Chapter 1



Vol 2 Figure 1.17 Map of the percentage of prevalent dialysis cases using home dialysis, by Health Service Area, 2012-2016



Data Source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Values for cells with 10 or fewer patients are suppressed.

2018 Annual Data Report Volume 2 ESRD, Chapter 1

Thermational Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019



Vol 2 Figure 1.16 Trends in number of prevalent ESRD cases using home dialysis, by type of therapy, in the United States, 1996-2016



2018 Annual Data Report Volume 2 ESRD, Chapter 1



Home hemodialysis History in USA

When HHD was first attempted, it was difficult because the equipment was large and complicated.

Scribner worked to make the process easier for patients, and by the 1970s, nearly 40% of the dialysis patient population in the United States was doing HHD.

The percentage of patients on HHD dropped over the following 20 years due to different reasons, but in the 1990s, HHD began to gain favor in the dialysis community once again.



REASONS FOR THE DECLINE IN HOME HEMODIALYSIS

- Increasing elderly, seriously ill, diabetes
- Increase in the number of outpatient dialysis units
- Concern that patients should not dialyze without a nurse
- Lack of explanation of the advantages of modalities
- Risk of social isolation
- Lack of attention to HHD by nephrology training programs
- The small number of experienced dialysis programs in HHD
- Lack of patient and/or family motivation



Technical aspects of hemodialysis Conventional machines for home hemodialysis

- Such machines generally employ a high dialysis flow rate
- High flow rates potentially increase water and electrical costs
- Mandating home electrical and/or plumbing modifications that render the use of such machine impractical for some patients

Thermational Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz , Iran 19-22 November 2019



Annals of Internal Medicine in 1966

Hampers and Merrill from Boston, MA

They concluded that home dialysis was a "safe and practical way" of performing hemodialysis, with "long term feasibility" established with the program lasting more than a year. This was one of the earliest studies published on home hemodialysis.



A picture from the <u>Hampers and Merrill</u> study: Patient having dialysis at home with 5-year-old son looking on.



New dialysis technology designed to be more user-friendly for patients, staff

January 6, 2016





Review

Haemodialysis at home: review of current dialysis machines

Sabrina Haroon & Andrew Davenport 🔽

Pages 337-347 | Received 16 Jan 2018, Accepted 13 Apr 2018, Accepted author version posted online: 14 Apr 2018, Published online: 26 Apr 2018

6 Download citation **2** https://doi.org/10.1080/17434440.2018.1465817







REQUIREMENTS OF HOME HEMODIALYSIS MACHINE

A home hemodialysis machine should have the following characteristics:

Easy to use

- Require minimal storage space
- > No electrical or plumbing modification to the home
- Energy and water efficient not increase water and electricity costs
- Portable for travel



Water Treatment innovations

Conventional water treatment systems use standard, portable, reverse osmosis systems.

Production of ultra-pure dialysate using small tanks.

Sorbent regeneration of dialysate.

Using premixed sterile dialysate bags.





Volumetric fluid balancing system provides unique benefits





Hemodial Int. 2010 Jan; 14(1): 39-46. doi: 10.1111/j.1542-4758.2009.00399.x. Epub 2009 Sep 16.

Solute kinetics with short-daily home hemodialysis using slow dialysate flow rate.

Kohn OE¹, Coe FL, Ing TS.

Author information

¹University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA. okohn@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu

NxStage System One

- The ultrapure dialysate volumes are typically between 15 L and 30 L per dialysis
- Standard Kt/V 2.5+/-0.3 per week, beta(2)M, phosphorus, and urea nitrogen removal in patients dialyzing 6 d /17.5 hours /wk compared favorably with:
- ✓ Thrice weekly conventional
- Short-daily hemodialysis performed with machines using much higher dialysate flow rates



NxStage Medical's System One gains FDA clearance for home nocturnal haemodialysis

(Ref: StreetInsider, MarketWatch)

December 22nd, 2014

By: Katie Bell

Tags: <u>Marketing & Sales</u> <u>Regulatory Affairs</u> <u>NxStage Medical</u> <u>System One</u> <u>FDA</u>

NxStage Medical announced Monday that its System One received FDA clearance to perform home nocturnal haemodialysis. The company said that the device is the "only haemodialysis machine cleared by the FDA for this indication."



CEO Jeffrey Burbank remarked "we are delighted with this milestone achievement, which we believe will open home haemodialysis therapy to new segments of patients, and improve patient care for [end-stage -renal disease] patients by expanding therapeutic options and flexibility."

404

🖾 Email

MAYO

Tweet



Improving the SIMPLICITY of acute renal replacement therapy.

The System One is currently **used by leading US hospitals** including **15 of the top 20 renal care hospitals** as ranked by US News & World Report.¹

The NxStage System One S with NxView is designed to be simple, yet versatile enough to allow you to deliver the prescribed dose to your patients.

 Broad range of flow rates allows you to individualize the therapy based on the condition of the patient, whether you choose CRRT, IHD, SLEDD/SHIFT, UF or TPE





17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019

Nat Rev Nephrol

Intensive home hemodialysis: benefit and barrier

<u>Tennankore KK¹, Chan CT, Curran SP</u>, 2012

A resurgence of interest in HHD in Past decade

- Simple-to-operate systems
- Similar or better outcomes
- Low dialysate volumes with slow dialysate flow
- The NxStage machine have the potential for home short daily hemodialysis, as well as for home nocturnal hemodialysis.





HOME HEMODIALYSIS MACHINE

*At least four additional systems are in development

- ➢ A second-generation AKSYS machine
- > A machine using sorbent technology by Fresenius Medical Care,
- > A portable machine by the University of Oregon
- Portable machine by Quanta SelfCare Plus.
- Additionally, a wearable artificial kidney is also being tested at several institutions in the United States.

<u>These easier-to-use systems would help patients surmount the</u> <u>barrier associated with machine complexity</u>.





Editorial

Creating a wearable artificial kidney: where are we now?

Jeroen P Kooman 🔄, Jaap A Joles & Karin GF Gerritsen

Pages 373-376 | Published online: 15 Jun 2015


Review

From portable dialysis to a bioengineered kidney

Maaike K. van Gelder, Silvia M. Mihaila, Jitske Jansen, Maarten Wester, Marianne C. Verhaar, Jaap A. Joles, ...show all Pages 323-336 | Received 15 Jan 2018, Accepted 05 Apr 2018, Accepted author version posted online: 10 Apr 2018, Published online: 20 Apr 2018

6 Download citation Attps://doi.org/10.1080/17434440.2018.1462697

Check for updates







Aksys PHD System

Clean-in-place blood circuit

Reduces inflammatory cascade
 Improves outcomes
 Lower cost to operate

Automates timeconsuming manual functions

- > Set-up
- > Priming
- > Dialysis
- > Rinsing
- > Disinfection
- No chemicals; hot water
- Includes integrated water purification



Produces the ONLY injectable-quality dialysate

Regular backflushes (Hemodiafiltration)

Lower utilization cost allows 5-7x per week

> Fixed cost



Aksys' Generation 2 Machine

>All the Advantages of the PHD and:

>Smaller

- >Transportable
- >Lighter
- >Quieter
- >More Reliable



Low Cost to:

>Manufacture

>Install

>Maintain



G2's Advantages Will Stimulate Demand





Home hemodialysis

Today, home hemodialysis (HHD) is growing in popularity due to:

- Developments in equipment
- Self-cannulation
- Training programs



Daily Hemodialysis: A Systematic Review

Rita S. Suri,* Gihad E. Nesrallah,[†] Rahul Mainra,* Amit X. Garg,*[‡] Robert M. Lindsay,* Tom Greene,[§] and John T. Daugirdas^{||}

*Division of Nephrology and [‡]Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, and [†]Division of Nephrology, Humber Regional Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; [§]Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, Cleveland Clinic Research Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio; and ^{II}Department of Medicine, University of Illinois, Chicago, Illinois

Several studies have reported improved outcomes with daily hemodialysis (DHD), but the strength of this evidence has not been evaluated. The published evidence on DHD was synthesized and its quality rated to inform need and sample size calculations for a randomized trial. Citations were identified in MEDLINE and EMBASE using validated search strategies. Dialysis journals that were not indexed and bibliographies of relevant articles were hand-searched. Two authors reviewed all citations. Articles that reported original data on five or more adults who were receiving DHD (1.5 to 3 h, 5 to 7 d/wk) for \geq 3 mo were included. Twenty-five articles reporting 14 unique populations with 268 patients (five to 72 per study) met inclusion criteria. Of the 14 cohorts, 13 were studied with an observational design, 10 were studied prospectively, and four had parallel control groups. Mean age ranged form 41 to 64 yr, mean time on dialysis was 2 to 11 yr, 0 to 28% of patients had diabetes, >90% had arteriovenous fistulae, and >50% were dialyzed at home. Most data were described at \leq 12 mo of follow-up. Outcomes included quality of life, cardiovascular disease, erythropoiesis, nutritional status, hospitalizations, and vascular access failures. Reporting was too heterogeneous to allow pooling of data. Ten of 11 studies suggested improvements in blood pressure; findings for other outcomes varied. Discontinuation of DHD occurred in 0 to 57% in-center and 0 to 15% home patients. Studies of DHD are limited by small sample size, nonideal control groups, selection and dropout biases, and paucity of data on potential risks. Randomized trials with adequate statistical power are required to establish the efficacy and the safety of DHD.

Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 1: 33-42, 2006. doi: 10.2215/CJN.00340705



Daily Hemodialysis: A Systematic Review

<u>Rita S. Suri^{*}, Gihad E. Nesrallah Rahul Mainra^{*}, Amit X. Garg^{* ‡}, Robert M. Lindsay^{*}</u> John T. Daugirdas

 Studies of DHD are limited by small sample size, non ideal control groups, selection and dropout biases, and paucity of data on potential risks.

 Randomized trials with adequate statistical power are required to establish the efficacy and the safety of DHD



Survival benefit with home hemodialysis

Weinhandl et al

Study	Year of publication	Conventional Dialysis	Home hemodialysis	Adjustment technique	Comparison of all-cause mortality	P value
<u>Woods et</u> <u>al</u>	1996	3 times a week (N = 3102)	Not described(N= 70)	Indirect standardization	RR: 0.56 (0.34 – 0.92) ^a RR: 0.58 (0.35 – 0.95) ^b	0.020.03
<u>Blagg et al</u>	2006	3 times a week (N = 19)	Short Daily(N = 98)	Indirect standardization	SMR: 0.39 (0.19 – 0.51) ^a	<0.005
<u>Kjellstrand</u> <u>et al</u>	2008	3 times a week (N = 150)	Short Daily(N = 265)	Indirect standardization	RR: 0.34 (0.20 – 0.54) ^c	<0.001
<u>Johansen</u> <u>et al</u>	2009	3 times a week (N = 430)	Short Daily(N = 43)	Propensity score matching	HR: 0.64 (0.31 – 1.31) ^d	0.22
<u>Johansen</u> <u>et al</u>	2009	3 times a week (N = 940)	Nocturnal Daily(N = 94)	Propensity score matching	HR: 0.36 (0.22 – 0.61) ^d	0.0001
<u>Weinhandl</u> <u>et al</u>	2012	3 times a week (N = 9365)	Short Daily(N = 1873)	Propensity score matching	HR: 0.87 (0.78–0.97) ^e	0.01

17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019



Comparing Mortality of Peritoneal and Hemodialysis Patients in the First 2 Years of Dialysis Therapy: A Marginal Structural Model Analysis

Lilia R. Lukowsky,*[†] Rajnish Mehrotra,[‡] Leeka Kheifets,[†] Onyebuchi A. Arah,^{†§||} Allen R. Nissenson,[¶]** and Kamyar Kalantar-Zadeh^{*†¶}

22,360 hemodialysis and 1,358 peritoneal dialysis in

Davita

Peritoneal dialysis was associated with persistently greater survival independent of the known confounders, including dialysis modality switch (i.e., death hazard ratio of 0.52 [95% confidence limit 0.34–0.80])

Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019



Home Dialysis HD vs PD

Iraj Najafi

November 2019

17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019



New data shows home hemodialysis superior to PD for patient and technique survival, hospitalizations

Results of a new study conducted by the Chronic Disease Research Group show daily home hemodialysis patients have a 16% lower risk of death, 8% lower risk of hospitalization, and 38% lower risk of therapy attrition than peritoneal dialysis patients/p>

MARK E. NEUMANN --- NOVEMBER 19, 2014



Home Hemodialysis vs Peritoneal Dialysis 2014

- Match 4,460 frequent daily home hemodialysis patients from a registry of NxStage Medical System One users with 4,460 peritoneal dialysis patients
- 13% lower risk of cardiovascular-related death 20% lower risk of infection-related death
- Frequent daily home hemodialysis patients were 16% less likely to be hospitalized for cardiovascular 11% less likely for infection-related diagnoses

Thernational Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019



Home Hemodialysis vs Peritoneal Dialysis 2014

Cumulative incidence of technique failure for daily home hemodialysis vs. peritoneal dialysis

9.1% vs. 17.3% at six months
17.9% vs. 27.3% at one year
27.5% vs. 37.5% at two years
31.9% vs. 44.7% at three years



© 2015 International Society of Nephrology

OPEN

The risk of hospitalization and modality failure with home dialysis

Rita S. Suri^{1,2}, Lihua Li² and Gihad E. Nesrallah^{3,4}

¹Department of Medicine, Section of Nephrology, Centre de Recherche, Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal (CHUM), Montréal, Québec, Canada; ²Division of Nephrology, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada; ³Department of Nephrology, Humber River Regional Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada and ⁴The Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, Keenan Research Centre, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

- We matched 1116 daily home hemodialysis (DHD) patients by propensity scores to 2784 USRDS patients receiving home peritoneal dialysis (PD), and compared hospitalization rates from cardiovascular, infectious, access-related or bleeding causes (prespecified composite), and modality failure risk
- We performed similar analyses for 1187 DHD patients matched to 3173 USRDS patients receiving in-center conventional hemodialysis (CHD)

International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and TransplantationTabriz , Iran 19-22 November 2019



	No of events		Unadj hazard ratio		
	Home DHD (<i>n</i> =1187)	In-center CHD (n=3173)	(95% CI)		<i>P</i> -value
All hospitalization	1503	7562	0.92 (0.85-1.00)	i∎ij	0.053
All infection	730	2905	1.15 (1.04–1.29)	H=	0.006
Cardiac	555	3717	0.68 (0.61-0.77)	HER .	<0.001
Access related	373	1358	1.25 (1.08–1.43)		0.002
Access non infectio	n 150	668	1.04 (0.86-1.27)		0.669
Access infection	223	690	1.43 (1.20–1.71)		<0.001
Bleeding	89	317	1.19 (0.86–1.63)		0.292
				· · · · · · · · ·	
				0.5 1 1.5 2	2
			_	<;	•
			Favors	home DHD Favors in-center	CHD

Figure 3 Relative hazard of hospitalization associated with daily home hemodialysis (DHD) versus in-center conventional hemodialysis (CHD). Cl, confidence interval; No, number.



	No of events		Unadj hazard ratio		
	Home DHD (<i>n</i> =1116)	PD (n=2784)	(95% Cl)		<i>P</i> -value
All hospitalization	1414	6689	0.73 (0.67–0.79)	HE I	<0.001
All infection	681	2898	0.81 (0.73-0.90)	+++	<0.001
Cardiac	524	2897	0.66 (0.58-0.74)	HH I	<0.001
Access related	363	1858	0.60 (0.52-0.69)		<0.001
Access non infection	n 139	630	0.67 (0.54-0.84)	i	<0.001
Access infection	224	1228	0.56 (0.48-0.66)	H=	<0.001
Bleeding	87	288	0.89 (0.67-1.17)	⊢ • <u> </u>	0.385
				·	-
				0 0.5 1 1	1.5
				Favors home DHD Favors	→ PD

Figure 2 Relative hazard of hospitalization associated with home daily home hemodialysis (DHD) versus peritoneal dialysis (PD). Cl, confidence interval; No, number.

DHD patients spent significantly fewer days in hospital than PD patients

(5.2 vs. 9.2 days/patient-year)

significantly more DHD patients remained admission-free

(52% DHD vs. 32% PD)

Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019



The risk of hospitalization and modality failure with home dialysis

,2015 Rita S. Suri1,2, Lihua Li2 and Gihad E. Nesrallah

Hospitalization risk is equal between home DHD and in-center CHD, but higher in PD
Modality failure risk is higher in PD

1% of DHD patients switched to PD, whereas **25%** of PD patients switched to home HD

15% of the DHD compared with **44%** of the PD switched back to in-center CHD

The hazard of switching back to in-center CHD with PD relative to DHD was 3.4 (2.9–4.0, P 0.001)

Well-conducted prospective studies are needed to confirm

these findings



An Incident Cohort Study Comparing Survival on Home Hemodialysis and Peritoneal Dialysis (Australia and New Zealand Dialysis and Transplantation Registry)

Annie-Claire Nadeau-Fredette, *^{†‡} Carmel M. Hawley, *^{†§} Elaine M. Pascoe,^{||} Christopher T. Chan,[¶] Philip A. Clayton,[†]** Kevan R. Polkinghorne, *^{††‡‡§§}|| Neil Boudville, *^{¶¶} Martine Leblanc,[‡] and David W. Johnson*^{†§}

Abstract

Background and objectives Home dialysis is often recognized as a first-choice therapy for patients initiating dialysis. However, studies comparing clinical outcomes between peritoneal dialysis and home hemodialysis have been very limited.

*Department of Renal Medicine, University of Queensland at Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Australia;

MAYO CLINIC

All Australian and New Zealand adult patients receiving home dialysis on day 90 after initiation of RRT between 2000 and 2012

Thermational Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019

Table 1. Baseline characteristics					
Characteristic	Peritoneal Dialysis (n=10,710)	Home Hemodialysis (<i>n</i> =706)	P Value		
Age (yr)	62 (50, 71)	50 (42, 58)	< 0.001		
Men	6082 (57)	531 (75)	< 0.001		
Race			< 0.001		
White	7389 (69)	590 (84)			
Asian	1236 (12)	47 (7)			
Aboriginal/Torres Strait Islander	601 (6)	7(1)			
Maori	899 (8)	33 (5)			
Pacific Peoples	468 (4)	24 (3)			
Other	117 (1)	5(1)			
Primary kidney disease			< 0.001		
GN/autoimmune	2662 (25)	273 (39)			
Diabetes	3739 (35)	126 (18)			
Hypertension/renovascular	1526 (14)	47 (7)			
Polycystic kidney disease	593 (6)	132 (19)			
Reflux	338 (3)	39 (6)			
Other/unknown	1852 (17)	89 (13)			
Cigarette use (current)	1458 (14)	85 (12)	0.23		
Comorbidities at dialysis entry					
Chronic lung disease	1606 (15)	54 (8)	< 0.001		
Coronary disease	4060 (38)	122 (17)	< 0.001		
Periphery vascular disease	2585 (24)	61 (9)	< 0.001		
Cerebrovascular disease	1594 (15)	32 (5)	< 0.001		
Diabetes	4648 (43)	159 (23)	< 0.001		
Body mass index (kg/m ²)			< 0.001		
<20	823 (7)	32 (5)			
20-24.9	3451 (32)	177 (25)			
25-29.9	3712 (35)	248 (35)			
≥30	2682 (25)	243 (35)			
Late referral (<3 months)	2128 (20)	45 (6)	< 0.001		
eGFR	7.5 (5.6-9.9)	7.5 (5.8-9.4)	0.59		
RRT initiation era			0.12		
2000-2005	4843 (45)	298 (42)			
2006-2012	5876 (55)	408 (58)			
Country			< 0.01		
Australia	8090 (76)	565 (80)			
New Zealand	2620 (24)	141 (20)			

17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz , Iran 19-22 November 2019

Baseline Characteristics

Overall Patients treated with HHD **were younger and healthier** than patients treated with PD





Australia and New Zealand Dialysis and Transplantation Registry study

- All Australian and New Zealand adult patients receiving home dialysis on day 90 after initiation of RRT between 2000 and 2012.
- The primary outcome:

Overall survival

- The secondary outcomes:
 On-treatment survival
 Patient and technique survival
 Death-censored technique survival
- Adjusted with three prespecified models: Multivariable Cox proportional hazards model (main model) Propensity score quintile-stratified model propensity score-matched model

International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz , Iran 19-22 November 2019







Survival curves for secondary outcomes Unadjusted Kaplan–Meier curves for

(A) on-treatment survival,

(B) patient and technique survival,

(C) death-censored technique survival,

Log-rank P,0.001 for panels (A), (B), and (C). HHD









Subgroup analyses for primary and secondary outcomes.

Hazard ratios for home hemodialysis relative to peritoneal dialysis (adjusted in multivariable models) by age group, race, and diabetes status for (A) overall mortality, (B) on-treatment mortality

> MAYO CLINIC

> > onal Society of Nephrology

IPNA

Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019



Subgroup analyses for primary and secondary outcomes.

Hazard ratios for home hemodialysis relative to peritoneal dialysis (adjusted in multivariable models) by age group, race, and diabetes status for **C) composite of mortality and technique failure**, and **(D) technique failure only**



Australia and New Zealand Dialysis and Transplantation Registry study



stratified by age group **Cumulative incidence function of technique failure** censored for death and and modality in competing risk model.

Transplantation and death defined as competing events



Conclusions

Home hemodialysis

was associated with superior patient and technique survival compared with

peritoneal dialysis

Baseline Characteristics

Overall Patients treated with HHD were younger and healthier than patients treated with PD

Limitations

MAYO CLINIC

• Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 10: ccc–ccc, 2015. doi: 10.2215/CJN.00840115

17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz , Iran 19-22 November 2019

Mortality, Hospitalization, and Technique Failure in Daily Home Hemodialysis and Matched Peritoneal Dialysis Patients: A Matched Cohort Study

Eric D. Weinhandl, PhD,¹ David T. Gilbertson, PhD,¹ and Allan J. Collins, MD^{1,2}

University of Minnesota,

4460 daily home HD and **46400 PD patients** from USRDS data base from January, 1, 2007 to December 31, 2010 were enrolled the study.

This study evaluated risk of death, hospitalization and technique failure between two groups of patients.

Am J Kidney Dis. 2016;67(1):98-110

17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019





Figure 3. Cumulative incidence estimates of technique failure in (A) all patients and (B) the subset with end-stage renal disease duration less than 6 months on the index date. Abbreviations: DHHD, daily home hemodialysis; PD, peritoneal dialysis.



Mortality, Hospitalization, and Technique Failure in Daily Home Hemodialysis and Matched Peritoneal Dialysis Patients: A Matched Cohort Study

Eric D. Weinhandl, PhD,¹ David T. Gilbertson, PhD,¹ and Allan J. Collins, MD^{1,2}

Conclusion:

Am J Kidney Dis. 2016;67(1):98-110

• **Daily HHD** had: lower risk of mortality, hospitalization and technique failure.

Limitations:

Observational

Difference in RRF

Lack of data on dose and frequency and type of PD



Vascular access-related infection in nocturnal home hemodialysis

Wesley N. HAYES,¹ Karthik TENNANKORE,² Marisa BATTISTELLA,² Christopher T. CHAN²

¹Division of Nephrology, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada; ²Division of Nephrology, Toronto General Hospital, Toronto, Canada



Vascular access-related infection in nocturnal home hemodialysis

Wesley N. HAYES,¹ Karthik TENNANKORE,² Marisa BATTISTELLA,² Christopher T. CHAN²

¹Division of Nephrology, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada; ²Division of Nephrology, Toronto General Hospital, Toronto, Canada

- 187 patients receiving NHHD in a single-center between January 1, 2006 and June 30, 2012.
- Four to seven overnight home hemodialysis treatments per week
- Follow up was 605 patient years.
- Initial vascular access was AVF in seventy-eight (42%) patients,

AVG in eleven (6%) patients, and CVC in ninety-eight (52%) patients



Figure 1 Kaplan–Meier survival curve showing the probability of remaining free of the composite endpoint of bacteremia, technique failure, or death over time stratified by initial vascular access (CVC = central venous catheter) (p < 0.001).

MAYO CLINIC

nternational Society of Nephrology Iranian Societ

IPNA



17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019



CATH ZILLA on the loose

- High catheter rates
- Increased hospitalization
- Increased mortality
- Increased Cost
- What can we do??






17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019



Rydell *et al. BMC Nephrology* (2019) 20:52 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-019-1245-x

BMC Nephrology

RESEARCH ARTICLE



CrossMark

Improved long-term survival with home hemodialysis compared with institutional hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis: a matched cohort study

Helena Rydell^{1*}, Kerstin Ivarsson², Martin Almquist³, Mårten Segelmark¹ and Naomi Clyne¹





HHD vs IHD vs PD Survival





Overall survival

intention-to-treat analysis for incident patients



Fig. 2 Overall survival. Superior overall survival (intention-to-treat analysis) for incident patients with HHD (n = 152) as first renal replacement therapy (RRT) compared with matched patients with IHD (n = 608; p < 0.001) and PD (n = 456; p = 0.002) as first RRT. In the analyses, changes to other modalities were not considered and censoring was only performed at the end of the study



Survival on initial RRT



Fig. 3 Survival on initial RRT. Superior survival during the first renal replacement therapy (RRT) for patients with HHD (n = 152) compared with matched patients with IHD (n = 608; p < 0.001) and PD (n = 456; p = < 0.001). In these analyses, censoring was performed at all changes to other modalities



Conclusion

This study showed a significant long-term survival advantage for patients on HHD as initial RRT compared with IHD and PD.

Subsequent renal transplantation was more common among patients starting HHD.

But there was no difference in subsequent renal graft survival between HHD and IHD or PD as initial RRT.





Home hemodialysis: a comprehensive review of patientcentered and economic considerations



Authors Walker RC, Howard K, Morton RL

Received 28 November 2016

Accepted for publication 24 December 2016

Published 16 February 2017 Volume 2017:9 Pages 149-161

DOI https://doi.org/10.2147/CEOR.S69340





Home Dialysis Is Associated with Lower Costs and Better Survival than Other Modalities: A Population-Based Study in Ontario, Canada.

Abstract

Background:How and where to initiate dialysis are policy challenges with enormous economic and health consequences. Initiating with home hemodialysis (HD) or peritoneal dialysis (PD) may reduce costs and improve outcomes but evidence is conflicting.*Methods*:We conducted a population-based study in patients aged \geq 18 years who initiated chronic dialysis in the province of Ontario, Canada from 2006 to 2014 (*N* = 12,691) using linked administrative data. Patients were grouped by initial modality: facility HD, facility short daily or slow nocturnal (SD/SN) HD, PD, home HD. We estimated publicly-paid healthcare costs (2015 Canadian dollars; 1 = 0.947 US dollar) and survival, from dialysis initiation to March 2015.*Results*:By 5 years after dialysis initiation, mean 30-day costs (as-treated) for patients receiving PD and home HD were 50% and 64% lower, respectively, than for facility HD patients (\$11,011). Approximately 50% of costs were unrelated to dialysis, reflecting high comorbidity in these patients. With covariate adjustment, mean 5-year cumulative costs were similar for initiators of home HD and PD (\$304,178 and \$349,338) and higher for facility HD initiators (\$410,981). The highest 5-year unadjusted survival was for home HD patients (80%), followed by PD (52%), SD/SN HD (50%), and facility HD (42%).*Conclusions*:This study in a large cohort over 9 years provides new population-based evidence suggesting that initiating dialysis at home is cost-effective, with lower costs and better survival, than starting with facility HD. Survival differences persisted after adjustment for baseline characteristics but we could not adjust for functional status or severity of comorbidities.

Copyright © 2019 International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis.



Home Dialysis Is Associated with Lower Costs and Better Survival than Other Modalities: A Population-Based Study in Ontario, Canada.

Krahn MD^{1,2,3,4}, Bremner KE⁵, de Oliveira C^{1,2,4,6}, Dixon SN^{4,7}, McFarlane P⁸, Garg AX^{4,9}, Mitsakakis N^{1,2}, Blake PG^{10,11}, Harvey R¹⁰, Pechlivanoglou P¹².

- With covariate adjustment, **mean 5-year cumulative costs** were:
- Home HD \$304,178
- PD \$349,338
- Facility HD \$410,981
- The highest 5-year unadjusted patient survival was for
- Home HD patients (80%), followed by
- PD (52%),
- SD/SN HD (50%),
- Facility HD (42%)



Exploring Barriers and Potential Solutions in Home Dialysis: An NKF-KDOQI Conference Outcomes Report

<u>Christopher T. Chan¹, Eric Wallace², Thomas A. Golper³, Mitchell H. Rosner⁴, Rebecca Kurnik Seshasai⁵, Joel D. <u>Glickman⁵, Martin Schreiber⁶, Patrick Gee⁷, Michael V. Rocco^{8,*,} Martin Schreiber⁶, Martin Schreiber⁶, Patrick Gee⁷, Michael V. Rocco^{8,*,} Martin Schreiber⁶, Martin Schreiber⁵, Martin Schreiber⁶, Martin S</u></u>



DOI: https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2018.09.015









Cost of Home HD

- Many studies worldwide have shown that overall home HD costs are 20%–50% less than those of in-center HD
- The greatest reason for this cost differential is staffing and facility overhead.
- There are also expense reductions from the potential for decreased hospitalizations and reduced use of medications (antihypertensive agents and phosphate binders).
- Travel to and from the dialysis facility also creates lost time and financial costs that are not experienced with home dialysis.





- Home HD has the potential to allow patients to enjoy
- ➢ increased freedom,
- ➤ quality of life,
- > greater ability to travel, and
- > tangible improvements in several domains of medical outcomes.

Increased physician and patient education can eliminate barriers to home HD and increase its use.





In most countries, patients treated with HHD still comprise less than
5% of the entire dialysis population.

The results of these studies should encourage increased use of HHD in order to improve the long-term prognosis for dialysis patients.





17th International Congress of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation Tabriz, Iran 19-22 November 2019

